UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/581,398	03/22/2007	Jonathan Rogers	EL/2-22992/A/PCT	9479	
	324 7590 07/31/2009 JoAnn Villamizar			EXAMINER	
Ciba Corporation/Patent Department			CHUNG, SUSANNAH LEE		
P.O. Box 2005	540 White Plains Road P.O. Box 2005 Tarrytown, NY 10591		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Tarrytown, NY			1626		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/31/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

andrea.dececchis@ciba.com deborah.pinori@ciba.com sonny.nkansa@basf.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/581,398	ROGERS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	SUSANNAH CHUNG	1626					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
Period for Reply		(0) 05 -1115-1 ((0) 5 1) (0					
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 							
Status							
<u> </u>	ov 2000						
		peacution as to the marits is					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice under L	x pane Quayle, 1900 O.D. 11, 40	J. O.G. 210.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-9 and 14-18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-13</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
<u> </u>							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
Notice of Prefisering Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-13-06. Other:							
1 apor 110(0)/mian bate 10-10-00.							

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-18 are pending in the instant application.

Priority

This application is a 371 of PCT/EP04/53111, filed 11/26/2004.

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) by application nos. 03104562.8 and 04104279.7 filed in the European Patent Office on 12/05/2003 and 09/06/2004, which papers have been placed of record in the file. The application names an inventor or inventors named in the prior application.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS), filed on 10-13-2006 has been considered. Please refer to Applicant's copy of the 1449 submitted herewith.

Response to Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election *without traverse* of Group II, claims 10-13, in the reply filed on 5-4-2009 is acknowledged. The election of

for search and examination purposes is

acknowledged.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,398 Page 3

Art Unit: 1626

Scope of the Elected Invention

Claims 1-18 are pending in this application. Claims 1-9, 14-18 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 C.F.R. §1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention. The withdrawn subject matter is patentably distinct from the elected subject matter as it differs in structure and element and would require separate search considerations. In addition, a reference, which anticipates one group, would not render obvious the other.

The scope of the elected subject matter that will be examined and searched is as follows: Claims 10-13 in its entirety.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 2nd paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims must stand alone to define the invention and incorporation into claims by express reference to the specification is not permitted. Ex parte Fressola, 27 USPQ 2d 1608. Claims 10-13 are dependent on claim 1 and refer back to limitations in claim 1. Without the limitations of claim 1, claims 10-13 are indefinite. It is suggested that Claim 10 be drafted in independent claim form and the limitations of claim1 be inserted into claim 10.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,398 Page 4

Art Unit: 1626

Obviousness Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 10-13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-6 of US Pat. No. 7,541,096 (`096 Pat).

Instant claim 10 claims an electroluminescent device of formula (I),

Application/Control Number: 10/581,398 Page 5

Art Unit: 1626

`096 Claims 1-6, claim an electroluminescent device of formula

$$[x^2]_{s}^{N} = TY^1 \frac{1}{1_{b}} x^3,$$
 , wherein Y1 is a divalent linking group or absent, X1 is

The difference between the prior patent and the instant claims is the scope of the benzotriazole compounds being claimed. The scope of the instant claims is broader than the `096 Pat.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the compounds claimed in the `096 Pat overlap or are the same as the claims. A difference in the scope of the claims is obvious and does not change the method of making of the claimed compounds or the method of using the compounds, i.e. the utility of the compounds as electroluminescent devices.

In the absence of showing unobvious results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention when faced with the prior filed applications that the instantly claimed compounds would be known. The motivation to optimize this class of benzotriazole compounds is the expectation that they will have similar electroluminescent properties.

The instant obviousness rejection is based on the close structural similarity of the instantly claimed compounds to the prior filed application compounds and the common

Art Unit: 1626

utility shared among the compounds. There is an expectation among those of ordinary skill in the art that similar structural compounds will have similar properties and that modification of a known structure is mere experimentation within the means of a skilled artisan. See MPEP 2144.09(I). Therefore, claims 10-13 are rejected as obvious over the prior claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Roitman et al (U.S. Pat. No. 5,629,389).

Applicants claims relate to an electroluminescent device using a benzotriazole compounds of Formula (I) and (II) in claims 10-13. Roitman discloses an electroluminescent device using 2-(2H-benzotriazol-2-ylmethyl)-4,6-bis(1-methyl-1-

phenylethyl)-phenol,

instant claims. See Roitman, et al., US Pat No. 5,629,389, columns 2-4, claims 1-5.

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susannah Chung whose telephone number is (571) 272-6098. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on (571) 272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Susannah Chung/ Examiner, Art Unit 1626